

## Occurrence of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> in dairy cow's feed over 10 years in Portugal (1995-2004)

Hermínia Marina Martins<sup>1</sup>, Maria Manuela Mendes Guerra<sup>2</sup> and Fernando Manuel d'Almeida Bernardo<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratório Nacional de Investigação Veterinária, Serviço de Micologia Lisboa Portugal <sup>2</sup>CIISA/Faculdade de Medicina Veterinária, Lisboa Portugal

*Summary* In Portugal, there is rather little information about the natural occurrence of aflatoxin in feedstuffs. The aim of this work was to report the results of screening the natural incidence of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> (AFB<sub>1</sub>) in samples of cattle feed collected from seven dairy cow's farms from Portugal distributed in several locations of the country. One thousand and one samples were taken from 1995 to 2004. High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was used for separation, identification and quantification of the compound. Detection limit was 1 μg /kg. Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> was detected in 374 (37.4%) of the samples. The incidence and mean content of AFB<sub>1</sub> was generally low. Levels of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> above the maximum limit established in Portugal (5 μg/kg) for dairy cattle feed samples were observed in 62 samples, 6.2%) with levels ranging from 5.1 to 74 μg/kg. Out of those 62 samples, 3.7% had levels between 5.1 to 10 (mean 7.8); 1.8% had a contamination level of 10.1 to 20 (mean 12.0), and 0.7% exceeded 20.1 μg/kg (mean 50.4). On the last two years (2003-04) none of the samples exceeded the maximum permissible level of the toxin.

*Key words* Aflatoxin B1, Dairy cattle feed, Occurrence

## Presencia de aflatoxina B<sub>1</sub> en piensos para ganado lechero en Portugal durante el periodo 1995-2004

En Portugal es muy escasa la información sobre la presencia natural de Resumen aflatoxina en piensos para animales. El objetivo de este trabajo es la divulgación del estudio sobre la incidencia natural de aflatoxina B1 (AFB1) en muestras de piensos, recogidas en siete explotaciones ganaderas de bovinos lecheros distribuidas por diversas regiones de Portugal. Se recogieron y analizaron 1.001 muestras entre el periodo de 1995 a 2004. En la separación, identificación y cuantificación del compuesto, se utilizó la técnica de cromatografía líquida de alta resolución (HPLC). El límite de detección fue de 1 µg/kg. La aflatoxina B1 fue detectada en 374 (37,4%) de las muestras. La incidencia y el contenido medio de AFB1 fueron en general, bajos. En 62 muestras (6,2%) se observaron niveles de aflatoxina B1 por encima del limite máximo permitido en Portugal (5  $\mu$ g/kg), con concentraciones entre 5,1 y 74  $\mu$ g/kg. De las 62 muestras, 3,7% presentaron concentraciones entre 5,1 y 10 μg/kg (mediana 7,8); 1,8% tenía una concentración entre 10,1 y 20 μg/kg (mediana 12,0), y 0,7% era superior a 20,1 μg/kg (mediana 50,4). En los últimos 2 años del estudio (2003-04) ninguna muestra excedió el límite máximo permitido para la toxina.

Palabras clave Aflatoxinas, Ganado lechero, Presencia, Piensos

Corresponding Author: Dr. H. Marina Martins Laboratório Nacional de Investigação Veterinária Serviço de Micologia Estrada de Benfica, 701 1549-011 Lisboa, Portugal Tel.: +351 21 711 53 36 Fax: +351 21 711 53 84 E-mail: marina.martins@Iniv.min-agricultura.pt

Aceptado para publicación el 26 de diciembre de 2005

©2007 Revista Iberoamericana de Micología Apdo. 699, E-48080 Bilbao (Spain) 1130-1406/01/10.00 € Mycotoxins in feedstuffs are recognised as a public health problem of considerable importance. Fungal toxins in feed and food have become a major research area since the 1961 discovery of the carcinogenicity of the aflatoxins. Fungal infestation and subsequent mycotoxins production can occur during plant growth, maturity, harvesting, processing of grains and is influenced by various factors (temperature, relative humidity, oxygen availability, damaged or broken grain kernels) [1,9].

Aflatoxins (AFB<sub>1</sub>, AFB<sub>2</sub>, AFG<sub>1</sub>, AFG<sub>2</sub>, AFM<sub>1</sub>, AFM<sub>2</sub>), a group of potent carcinogenic and teratogenic compounds, are secondary metabolic products of some *Aspergillus* spp.: *Aspergillus flavus*, *Aspergillus parasiticus* and *Aspergillus nomius* [8,10].

Since the discovery of the aflatoxins, a comprehensive literature on many aspects of their natural occurrence, chemistry and toxicology has been described. AFB<sub>1</sub> may occur in a wide variety of agricultural commodities such as: barley, beans, corn, cottonseed, rice, wheat and many other items like peanuts. Aflatoxins contain a coumarin nucleus fused to a bifuran and either a pentanone (AFB<sub>1</sub>, AFB<sub>2</sub>) or a six-membered lactone (AFG<sub>1</sub>, AFG<sub>2</sub>) [2,6]. AFB<sub>1</sub> presents the highest degree of toxicity for animals, followed by aflatoxins M<sub>1</sub> (AFM<sub>1</sub>), AFG<sub>1</sub>, AFB<sub>2</sub> and AFG<sub>2</sub> [4].

AFB<sup>1</sup> can increase the incidence of disease and reduce production efficiency. Some of the gross effects of AFB<sup>1</sup> can include: intake reduction or feed refusal, reduction in nutrient absorption and metabolism, digestive disorders including haemorrhage and necrosis. Toxicity occurs at the cellular level. AFB<sup>1</sup> causes DNA changes, cell deregulation, cellular changes and death [20].

When AFB<sub>1</sub>, the mayor toxic member of the group, contaminates the feed of lactating animal, the milk from these animals will contain aflatoxin  $M_1$  (AFM<sub>1</sub>), the principal metabolite arising from biotransformation of AFB<sub>1</sub>. The presence of AFM<sub>1</sub> in milk can be a potential threat to the health of consumers of dairy products [5].

To reduce the risk of exposure, many countries have regulated the levels of  $AFB_1$  in feed (and have set or proposed maximum permissible levels of  $AFM_1$  in milk). Currently, the legal limits of  $AFB_1$  in feedstuffs are highly variable from the European Union (EU) Countries to other countries (the EU has a limit of 5 µg/kg for dairy feed) [15].

The purpose of this study is to give the information of the occurrence of  $AFB_1$  in dairy feed over ten years of observation.

Sampling. A total of 33, 29, 25, 15, 145, 151, 139, 109, 180 and 175 complete dairy cow's feed samples, from seven dairy cow's farms from Portugal, were collected from 1995 to 2004, respectively. Samples were ground in a laboratory mill to pass a 1.0 mm, screened and mixed accurately to ensure homogeneity. If not analysed immediately, samples were stored at -20 °C. The sample size was approximately 1 kg and two sub samples of 500 g each were analysed.

Apparatus. HPLC analysis was performed by an Interface D-7000 LaChrom, Merck Hitachi; FL Detector L-7480, Merck Hitachi; Merck Column Oven L-7350 LaChrom; Pump L-7100 LaChrom, Merck Hitachi; Pump L-7110 LaChrom, Merck Hitachi. Data acquisition was performed by Compaq Deskppro software.

Determination of natural occurrence of  $AFB_1$  by HPLC.  $AFB_1$  was determined according to the official EC method (Commission of the European Communities, 1992; N° L327/54) [14]. Briefly, a 50-g sample was extracted with a solvent mixture of chloroform stabilised with 0.5% of ethanol (250:25) by shaking for 30 min; after filtration through a folded filter-paper, an aliquot (50 ml) of the filtrate was passed through a Sep-Pak Florisil mini-column (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) previously conditioned with 10 ml chloroform. The column was rinsed with 10 ml chloroform followed by 20 ml methanol. AFB<sub>1</sub> was eluted with solvent mixture of water and acetone (85+15) and finally the purified sample extract was injected in the HPLC.

Standard AFB1 was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Ref. A-6636, Química S.A. Spain). The stock solution, working standard and the calibration curve were prepared and determined as described in the Official Journal of the European Communities (1992; Nº L327/54) [14]. Determination of AFB<sub>1</sub> level in samples extracts was carried out by isocratic reverse-phase liquid chromatography (HPLC) using a LiChrospher 100 RP-18 (5 mm column 25 x 4.6 mm i.d.) EcoPack (Merck, Portugal), with post column derivatisation involving bromination, with pyridinum hydrobromide perbromide (PBPB) (Sigma P-3179) (Quimica S. A., Spain) and with fluorescence detector and computing integrator Merck Hitachi (Compaq Deskpro); excitation and emission wavelengths of 1 were 360 nm and 420 nm. The mobile phase was water-acetonitrile-methanol solution (6+2+3, v/v/v), and the flow rates were 1.00 ml/min for mobile phase and 0.30 ml/min for reagent PBPB. The retention time for the AFB1 was 13.35 min.

| Years | N⁺/N (%)        | Concentration (µg/kg) |      |      |        |      |      |                |     |      |         |     |      |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------------|------|------|--------|------|------|----------------|-----|------|---------|-----|------|
|       |                 | 1-5.0                 |      |      | 5.1-10 |      |      | 10.1-20        |     |      | 20.1-80 |     |      |
|       |                 | N⁺                    | %    | Mean | N+     | %    | Mean | N <sup>+</sup> | %   | Mean | N⁺      | %   | Mean |
| 1995  | 7/33 (21.2)     | 3                     | 9.1  | 1.6  | 4      | 12.1 | 9.5  | 0              | 0   | _    | 0       | 0   | -    |
| 1996  | 11/29 (38)      | 10                    | 34.5 | 2    | 1      | 3.5  | 8    | 0              | 0   | -    | 0       | 0   | -    |
| 1997  | 8/25 (32)       | 4                     | 16   | 1.2  | 0      | 0    | 0    | 1              | 4   | 19   | 3       | 12  | 35.6 |
| 1998  | 8/15 (36.6)     | 4                     | 26.7 | 3    | 3      | 20   | 6    | 0              | 0   | -    | 1       | 6.7 | 74   |
| 1999  | 97/145 (23.1)   | 61                    | 42   | 3.5  | 23     | 15.9 | 7.6  | 10             | 6.9 | 13.3 | 3       | 2.1 | 41.7 |
| 2000  | 76/151 (50.3)   | 68                    | 45   | 2.6  | 5      | 3.3  | 7    | 3              | 2   | 3.4  | 0       | 0   | -    |
| 2001  | 50/139 (36)     | 48                    | 34.6 | 2.2  | 1      | 0.7  | 9    | 1              | 0.7 | 13   | 0       | 0   | -    |
| 2002  | 13/109 (12)     | 10                    | 9.2  | 2.1  | 0      | 0    | -    | 3              | 2.8 | 11.4 | 0       | 0   | -    |
| 2003  | 78/180 (43.3)   | 78                    | 43.3 | 2.4  | 0      | 0    | -    | 0              | 0   | -    | 0       | 0   | -    |
| 2004  | 26/175 (14.9)   | 26                    | 14.9 | 1.8  | 0      | 0    | -    | 0              | 0   | -    | 0       | 0   | -    |
| Total | 374/1001 (37.4) | 312                   | 31.2 | 2.2  | 37     | 3.7  | 7.8  | 18             | 1.8 | 12.0 | 7       | 0.7 | 50.4 |

Table 1. Concentration and frequency of occurrence of AFB1 in dairy feedstuffs over 10 years in Portugal

N: number of samples; N\*: positive sample; samples < 1  $\mu\text{g/kg}$  were considered negatives.

The recoveries were done in duplicate, in blank dairy cattle feed samples of (1 kg), spiked with level of 4.0 mg/kg of AFB<sub>1</sub>. The average recoveries was 98.0% Confirmation of AFB<sub>1</sub> identity was performed by thin layer chromatography (TLC), according to the method prescribed by Przybylski [18] and by Gimeno [3]. The identification of AFB<sub>1</sub> is based on derivate formation of AFB<sub>2a</sub> by reaction with trifluoracetic acid (TFA) (Sigma T-6508), directly on the TLC plate SIL G-25 HR (Macherey-Nagel, ref. 809033, Germany). Samples with AFB<sub>1</sub> levels below 1 mg/kg were considered as negative (less than the detection limit).

The results concerning to the 2 determinations of each sample are summarised in Table 1. Three hundred and seventy four samples out of 1001 (37.4%) analysed samples for the presence of AFB<sub>1</sub>, were positive.

The level of contamination for  $AFB_1$  was generally low and ranged from 1µg/kg to 74 µg/kg. Levels of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> above the maximum limit established in Portugal (5 µg/kg) for dairy cattle feed samples were observed in 62 samples (6.2%) with levels ranging from 5.1 to 74 µg/kg. Out of those 62 samples, 3.7% had levels between 5.1 to 10 µg/kg (mean 7.8); 1.8% had a contamination level of 10.1 to 20 µg/kg (mean 12.0), and 0.7% exceeded 20.1 µg/kg (mean 50.4). On the last two years (2003-04) none of the samples exceeded the maximum permitted level of the toxin.

Similarly to the present study, in 1980, Patterson and Roberts [17] reported a survey over a period of 13 years on the presence of AFB1 in 740 samples of animal feedstuffs, including dairy feeds, where the toxin was detected in 27% of the dairy feed samples, at levels of more than 30  $\mu$ g/kg. Oswieler and Trampel [16] found AFB1 in the same type of samples in concentrations from 0.096 to 1.700 mg/kg. Skrinjar et al. [19] detected this toxin in dairy feed samples at levels ranging from 0.005 to 0.016 mg/kg.

## According to Huni et al. [7] in Swiss commercial dairy feeds the AFB1 content decreased sharply from 47 $\mu$ g/kg in the winter of 1976-77 to 24 $\mu$ g/Kg in the winter of 1977-78 and to 3 $\mu$ g/Kg in the winter of 1978-79 and this tendency was associated to the restrictive Federal measures adopted in this country. Similarly, the present study shows that from the year 2000, there is a decrease on the concentration of the toxin found in the dairy feed samples and since 2003 none exceeded the maximum permitted level of the toxin. This tendency is probably related to increasing surveillance measures on the sanitary quality of raw materials and the subsequent feedstuffs observed in Portugal in the last years [11].

Although there are some reports on the presence of moulds, and particularly *A. flavus* in feedstuffs in Portugal [12,13] the occurrence of the toxin produced by this fungus is scarcely referred. In 2001 Martins and Martins [13], observed the contamination of moulds (*A. flavus*) in 27% of 155 bovine feed samples during the years 1996 to 1999. Albeit these data might indicate problems in the quality of the feed, correlation with the presence of AFB<sub>1</sub> is not possible to establish as not all the *A. flavus* strains are toxinogenic [10].

Based in the results obtained in this study, a permanent monitoring for AFB<sub>1</sub> by the feed industry, as well as awareness on the part of breeders is highly recommended and beneficial, since this mycotoxin affects animal's health and the contaminated milk can be a serious public health hazard.

## References

- Bernardo F. Micotoxicoses: Gestão de Risco. Prova de agregação. Faculdade de Medicina Veterinária, Lisboa, 2004. p 172.
- 2. Cole RJ, Cox RH. Handbook of toxic fungal metabolites. New York. Academic Press, 1981: 1-66.
- Gimeno A. Thin layer chromatographic determination of aflatoxins, ochratoxins, sterigmatocystin, zearalenone, citrinin, T-2 toxin, diacetoxysirpenol, penicillic acid, patulin and penitrem A. J Assoc Off Anal Chem 1979; 62: 579-585.
- Gourama H, Bullerman LB. Aspergillus flavus and Aspergillus parasiticus: Aflatoxigenic fungi of concern in foods and feeds: a review. J Food Prot 1995; 58: 1395-1409.
- Govaris A, Roussi V, Koidis PA, Botsoglou NA. Distribution and stability of aflatoxin during processing, ripening and storage of Telemes cheese. Food Add Contamin 2001; 18: 437-443.
- 6. Heathcote JR, Hibbert JR. Aflatoxins: Chemical and biological aspects. The Netherlands, Elsevier, 1978. p 212.
- Huni K, Schneider J, Zanetti G, Rihs T. Survey of aflatoxin in Swiss concentrate feeds for dairy cattle. J Environ Pathol Toxicol Oncol 1990; 10: 160-161.
- Kurtzman CB, Horn BWE, Hesseltine CW. Aspergillus nomius, a new aflatoxin producing species related to Aspergillus flavus and Aspergillus tamarii. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 1987; 53: 147-158.

- Lanyasunya TP, Wamae LW, Musa HH, Olowofeso O, Lokwaleput, IK. The risk of mycotoxins contamination of dairy feed and milk on smallholder dairy farms in Kenya. Pakistan J Nutri 2005; 4: 162-169.
- Martins LM. Capacidade de produção de aflatoxinas por Aspergillus flavus em substratos naturais. Rep Trab LNIV. 1989. XXI: 123-132.
- Martins HM. Occurrence of aflatoxins in raw material and in feedstuffs in Portugal (2000-2003). Proceeding of the "Food Protection International Conference".
  2003; Monte de Caparica, Portugal. p. 77.
- Martins M, Martins HM. Natural occurrence of aflatoxins in cattle feed in Portugal (1996/1999). In: Logrieco A. (Eds) Occurrence of toxigenic fungi and mycotoxins in plants, food and feed in Europe, COST Action 835. CNR, Bari, Italy, 2001; 131-146.
- Martins M, Martins HM. Qualidade micológica de rações para bovinos (Portugal: 1996-1999). Rev Port Ciênc Vet 2001; 96: 85-88.
- 14. Official Journal of the European Communities. Commission Directive 92/95/EEC. No L. 327/54.
- 15. Official Journal of the European Communities. Commission Directives 2003/100/CE of 31 October.

- Osweiler GD, Trampel DW. Aflatoxicosis in feedlot cattle. J Am Vet Med Assoc 1985; 187: 636-637.
- Patterson DS, Roberts BA. Aflatoxin B1 in dairy concentrates and other animal feedstuffs. Vet Rec 1980; 107: 249-252.
- Przyblylski W. Formation of aflatoxin derivatives on thin layer chromatographic plates. J Assoc Off Anal Chem 1975; 58: 163-164.
- Skrinjar M, Stubblefield RD, Vujicic IF, Stojanovic E. Distribution of aflatoxin producing moulds and aflatoxins in dairy cattle feed and raw milk. Acta Microbiol Hung 1992; 39: 175-179.
- Whitlow LW, Heagler JR. The top ten most frequently-asked questions about mycotoxins, cattle and dairy food products. In: TP Lyons and KA Jacques (Eds). Re-imagining the feed industry. Nutritional Biotechnology in the Feed and Food Industries. Proceedings of Alltech's 20th Annual Symposium. 2004: 231-253.